

COPY

Practitioner's Docket No. 6382-39

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: James H. Adams

Application No.: 09/815,946

Group No.: 3612

Filed: 03/23/2001

Examiner: Gordon, S.

For: Tie Down Coupling System

**RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
3612**

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION--TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 C.F.R. 1.116) for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is a small entity. A statement was already filed.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

*(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)*

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10*

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

X facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) 305 - 3597

FAXED
Date: March 17, 2003

Michelle E. Hujar
Signature

Michelle E. Hujar

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (' 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under ' 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See ' 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (' 1.10) or facsimile transmission (' 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

COPY

EXTENSION OF TERM

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col.1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit Fee
Total	13	Minus	20	= 0	x \$9 =	\$0
Indep	2	Minus	3	= 0	x \$40 =	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					+ \$135 =	\$0
					Total Addit. Fee	\$0


- * If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3,
 ** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 1) is less than 20, enter "20".
 *** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 2) is less than 3, enter "3".
 The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

No additional fee for claims is required.

FEE DEFICIENCY

5. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 15-0450.

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 15-0450.


 Signature of Practitioner

Reg. No.: 32,712
 Tel. No.: 330-864-5550
 Customer No.: 021324

Scott M. Oldham
 Hahn Loeser + Parks
 Twin Oaks Estate
 1225 W. Market Street
 Akron, OH 44313

MODE = MEMORY TRANSMISSION

START=MAR-17 16:58

END=MAR-17 17:02

FILE NO.=983

STN NO.	COMM.	ONE-TOUCH/ ABBR NO.	STATION NAME/EMAIL ADDRESS/TELEPHONE NO.	PAGES	DURATION
001	OK	a	17033053597	008/008	00:02:17

-HAHN LOESER + PARKS -

***** -AKRON

- ***** - 3308647986- *****

Practitioner's Docket No. 6382-39

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: James H. Adams

Application No.: 09/815,946

Filed: 03/23/2001

For: Tie Down Coupling System

Group No.: 3612

Examiner: Gordon, S.

COPY

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
3612

Box AF

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION-TRANSMITTAL

- Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 C.F.R. 1.116) for this application.

STATUS

- Applicant is a small entity. A statement was already filed.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10*

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

X facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) 305 - 3597

Michelle E. Hujar
Signature

Michelle E. Hujar

(type or print name of person certifying)

Date: March 17, 2003

* Only the date of filing (* 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under * 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See * 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (* 1.10) or facsimile transmission (* 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

JUL 23 2003



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,946 ✓	03/23/2001 ✓	James H. Adams ✓	6382-39 116382.00039	8245 ✓

21324 7590 07/21/2003
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP
TWIN OAKS ESTATE
1225 W. MARKET STREET
AKRON, OH 44313

COPY

EXAMINER
GORDON, STEPHEN T ✓

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3612 ✓	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

09/815,946

Examiner

Stephen Gordon

Applicant(s)

ADAMS, JAMES H.

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 December 2002.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:

STEPHEN GORDON

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.